

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DODORA UNIFIED COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

DIRECT INFORMATION PVT. LTD., et al

Defendants.

Civil Action
No. 05-10016-NMG

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM

The Conversion Claim

1. Do you find that Dodora has proven that Direct it intentionally or wrongfully exercised dominion or control over Dodora's property?

(Circle one)

YES

NO

The Money Had and Received Claim

2. Do you find that Dodora has proven that Directi received money that should have been paid to Dodora?

(Circle one)

YES

NO

The Interference with Advantageous Business Relations Claim

3. Do you find that Dodora has proven that Directi interfered with Dodora's business relationships with existing or propsective customers or clients?

Damages

4. If you answered YES to any of these questions, state the sum of money which you believe will properly and adequately compensate Dodora for its damages:
-

June 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,
DODORA UNIFIED COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
By its attorney;

/s/ David G. Baker

David G. Baker, Esq.
105 Union Wharf
Boston, MA 02109
BBO # 634889
617-367-4260

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby states upon information and belief that the within Proposed Jury Instructions was served upon counsel for Direct Information by the Court's CM/ECF system, and I certify that a copy also was served by Email to counsel for the defendant at dchecker@PBL.COM.

/s/ David G. Baker

David G. Baker, Esq.